

A central limit theorem for Gibbs measures relative to Brownian motion

Volker Betz* and Herbert Spohn†

volker.betz@gsf.de, spohn@mathematik.tu-muenchen.de

Abstract

We study a Gibbs measure over Brownian motion with a pair potential which depends only on the increments. Assuming a particular form of this pair potential, we establish that in the infinite volume limit the Gibbs measure can be viewed as Brownian motion moving in a dynamic random environment. Thereby we are in a position use the technique of Kipnis and Varadhan and to prove a functional central limit theorem.

1 Introduction

We consider standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d , starting at zero, weighted à la Gibbs as

$$\mathcal{N}_{T,r} = \frac{1}{Z_{T,r}} \exp \left(- \int_0^T \int_0^T W(q_t - q_s, t - s) dt ds \right) \mathcal{W}_r^0. \quad (1)$$

Here $t \rightarrow q_t$ is a Brownian path, $q_0 = 0$, \mathcal{W}_r^0 is the path measure of Brownian motion, and, in the time window $[0, T]$, the partition function $Z_{T,r}$ normalizes the weighted path measure to one. The precise assumptions on the pair potential W will be given below, but in essence $|W(x, t)| \leq \gamma(t)$ with γ bounded and decaying faster than $|t|^{-3}$ at infinity.

Since in (1) W depends only on the increments $q_t - q_s$, one would expect that under rescaling the weighted path measure $\mathcal{N}_{T,r}$ looks like Brownian motion with some effective diffusion matrix D . To be more precise, one first has to establish the existence of the limit measure

$$\mathcal{N}_r = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}_{T,r}.$$

Let then q_t be distributed according to \mathcal{N}_r . We expect the validity of the invariance principle

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{\varepsilon} q_{t/\varepsilon} = \sqrt{D} b(t) \quad (2)$$

with $b(t)$ standard Brownian motion.

*Institut für Biomathematik and Biometrie, GSF Forschungszentrum, Postfach 1129, D-85758 Oberschleißheim

†Zentrum Mathematik, Technische Universität München, Boltzmannstr. 3, D-85747 Garching

The conventional approach for a proof of (2) is to try to establish good mixing properties for the process of increments. For this purpose one maps (1) to a one-dimensional spin system over \mathbb{N} . The single “spin” is a continuous path $\sigma_j(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, with $\sigma_j(0) = 0$. Under the a priori measure the spins are independent and distributed according to standard Brownian motion \mathcal{W}^0 over the time span $[0, 1]$. Setting $T = N$, the interaction from (1) is then rewritten as

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 W(\sigma_i(t) - \sigma_j(s) + \Delta(i, j), i + t - j - s) dt ds, \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta(i, i) = 0$, $\Delta(i, j) = \sum_{\ell=j}^{i-1} \sigma_\ell(1)$ for $i > j$, and $\Delta(i, j) = \sum_{\ell=i}^{j-1} \sigma_\ell(1)$ for $i < j$. Clearly, the path is reconstructed from the increments as

$$q_t = \sigma_0(t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad q_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \sigma_j(1) + \sigma_\ell(t - \ell) \quad \text{for } \ell \leq t < \ell + 1,$$

$\ell = 1, \dots, N - 1$. Mixing for one-dimensional spin systems, at the level of generality needed here, is investigated by Dobrushin [4, 5]. Note that the interaction in (3) is many-body. Also in the applications we have in mind, $W(x, t)$ only decays like a power in the t -variable. Therefore it is not so obvious whether a central limit theorem for q_t can be deduced with the techniques of [4, 5].

In our contribution we will prove the invariance principle by using the Kipnis-Varadhan theorem [8], originally developed to deal with random motion in a random environment. This technique, at least in its present form, requires an underlying Markov structure. In our context it can be achieved provided W has the particular form

$$W(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int |\widehat{\rho}(k)|^2 e^{ik \cdot x} e^{-\omega(k)|t|} \frac{1}{2\omega(k)} dk \quad (4)$$

with

$$\omega(k) \geq 0, \quad \omega(k) = \omega(-k), \quad \widehat{\rho}(k) = \widehat{\rho}(-k)^* \quad \text{and} \quad (5)$$

$$\int |\widehat{\rho}(k)|^2 (\omega^{-1} + \omega^{-2} + \omega^{-3}) dk < \infty. \quad (6)$$

The trick is to “linearize” the interaction in (1) by introducing the auxiliary stationary Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $\phi_t(x)$ with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_t(x) \phi_{t'}(x')) = \int \frac{1}{2\omega(k)} e^{ik \cdot (x - x')} e^{-\omega(k)|t - t'|} dk.$$

Denoting its path measure by \mathcal{G} , (1) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{N}_{T,r} = \frac{1}{Z_{T,r}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} \left(\exp \left(- \int_0^T \int \rho(x - q_t) \phi_t(x) dx dt \right) \right) \mathcal{W}_r^0.$$

According to Kipnis and Varadhan the central object is the environment as seen from the particle, i.e. the random field

$$\eta_t(x) = \phi_t(x + q_t).$$

In the standard applications η_t is Markov with an explicitly given stationary measure. In our case, however, we have to first take the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$ for the joint process $q_t, \phi_t(x)$. Thereby the stationary measure appears only indirectly and is defined as the solution of an eigenvalue problem. In Section 2, we will prove that, in the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$, $\eta_t(x)$ is indeed a stationary, reversible Markov process and will identify its generator. q_t can be written as an additive functional over $\eta_t(x)$ plus a martingale with stationary increments. Following Kipnis and Varadhan, in essence, one can thus rely on the martingale central limit theorem, with one proviso. It must be ensured that the effective diffusion matrix D is strictly positive, see Section 4. Usually this step requires extra considerations and is based on lower bound estimates to the variational formula. We did not make much progress along these lines. Instead we will rely on an idea of Brascamp, Lebowitz and Lieb [3], which in the present context has been employed before [11].

We state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Define $\mathcal{N}_{T,r}$ as in (1) with W given by (4).*

(i): $\mathcal{N}_{T,r}$ converges locally to a measure \mathcal{N}_r as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii): The stochastic process q_t induced by \mathcal{N}_r satisfies a central limit theorem

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{\varepsilon} q_{t/\varepsilon} = \sqrt{D} b(t)$$

in distribution, where $0 \leq D \leq 1$ as a $d \times d$ matrix and $b(t)$ is standard Brownian motion.

(iii): In addition to (5),(6) assume

$$\int |\widehat{\varrho}(k)|^2 |k|^2 (\omega^{-2} + \omega^{-4}) dk < \infty.$$

Then $D > 0$.

To make the environment process η_t stationary, one has to choose the symmetric time window $[-T, T]$ and to replace in (1) \mathcal{W}_r^0 by the two-sided Brownian motion \mathcal{W}^0 pinned at 0. This leads to the Gibbs measure

$$\mathcal{N}_T = \frac{1}{Z_T} \exp \left(- \int_{-T}^T \int_{-T}^T W(q_t - q_s, t - s) dt ds \right) \mathcal{W}^0. \quad (7)$$

Let $\mathcal{N}_{T,\ell}$ be $\mathcal{N}_{T,r}$ reflected at the time origin and let $\mathcal{N}_{T,\ell r} = \mathcal{N}_{T,\ell} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{T,r}$. By our assumptions on W , clearly,

$$\frac{1}{c} \leq \left| \frac{d\mathcal{N}_{T,\ell r}}{d\mathcal{N}_T} \right| \leq c$$

uniformly in T for some $c > 0$. Therefore a central limit theorem for \mathcal{N} is equivalent to central limit theorem for \mathcal{N}_r . In the sequel we will prove the invariance principle for (7). For the convenience of the reader we restate Theorem (1.1) as

Theorem 1.2 Define \mathcal{N}_T as in (7) with W given by (4).

(i): \mathcal{N}_T converges locally to a measure \mathcal{N} as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii): The stochastic process q_t , $t \geq 0$, induced by \mathcal{N} satisfies a central limit theorem

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{\varepsilon} q_{t/\varepsilon} = \sqrt{D} b(t)$$

in distribution, where $0 \leq D \leq 1$ as a $d \times d$ matrix, and $b(t)$ is standard Brownian motion.

(iii): In addition to (5), (6) assume

$$\int |\widehat{\varrho}(k)|^2 |k|^2 (\omega^{-2} + \omega^{-4}) dk < \infty. \quad (8)$$

Then $D > 0$.

Remark: Our work is motivated by the massless Nelson model [9, 2]. In this case $d = 3$, $\omega(k) = |k|$, and $\widehat{\rho}$ has a fast decay at infinity. The most stringent condition then is $\int |\widehat{\rho}|^2 \omega^{-3} d^3k < \infty$, which requires a decay of W as

$$|W(x, t)| \leq c(1 + |t|^{3+\delta})^{-1}.$$

for some $\delta > 0$. Thus we cannot allow for $\widehat{\rho}(0) > 0$ and need a mild infrared cutoff. Physically D^{-1} is the effective mass of the quantum particle when coupled to the scalar Bose field.

2 Diffusion driven by a stationary field

For our particular choice of W , \mathcal{N} can be written as the path measure of a diffusion driven by a stationary random field. The aim of the present section is to establish this representation.

Let \mathcal{K}_0 be the real Hilbert space obtained by completing the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on which

$$\langle a, b \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_0} = \int \widehat{a}(k) \frac{1}{2\omega(k)} \widehat{b}(k)^* dk. \quad (9)$$

is finite with respect to the inner product given by (9). Here \widehat{a} denotes the Fourier transform of a , and $\widehat{b}(k)^*$ denotes complex conjugation of $\widehat{b}(k)$.

Let \mathbf{G} be the Gaussian measure indexed by \mathcal{K}_0 . It will be convenient consider \mathbf{G} on a probability space consisting of distributions. Let A be a strictly positive operator with Hilbert-Schmidt inverse in \mathcal{K}_0 , and let \mathcal{K} be the completion of \mathcal{K}_0 with respect to the Hilbert norm

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{K}} = \|A^{-1}\phi\|_{\mathcal{K}_0} \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{K}_0.$$

Then \mathbf{G} is supported on \mathcal{K} , and

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{G}}(\phi(a)\phi(b)) = \langle a, b \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_0}. \quad (a, b \in D(A)),$$

where ϕ is considered as a linear functional defined through the limit

$$\phi(b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Ab, A^{-1}\phi_n \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_0}$$

for any sequence (ϕ_n) converging to ϕ in \mathcal{K} . \mathcal{K} is a space of distributions, depending on the choice of A . For our purposes, however, the special form of A does not matter.

For $a \in \mathcal{K}_0$, $\phi \mapsto \phi(a)$ is an element of $L^2(\mathbf{G})$. Let \mathcal{G} be the path measure of the infinite dimensional (\mathcal{K} -valued) Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with mean 0 and covariance

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_s(a)\phi_t(b)) = \int \widehat{a}(k) \frac{1}{2\omega(k)} e^{-|t-s|\omega(k)} \widehat{b}(k)^* dk \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{K}_0).$$

\mathcal{G} is a reversible Gaussian Markov process with reversible measure \mathbf{G} , and $t \mapsto \phi_t(a)$ is continuous for $a \in \mathcal{K}_0$.

For $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let τ_q be the shift by q on \mathcal{K} , i.e. $(\tau_q\phi)(a) = \phi(a(\cdot - q))$. More generally, for $f \in L^2(\mathbf{G})$, we define $(\tau_q f)(\phi) = f(\tau_q\phi)$. τ_q is unitary on $L^2(\mathbf{G})$ for each q , and $q \mapsto \tau_q$ is a strongly continuous group on $L^2(\mathbf{G})$. For $T > 0$ define

$$\mathcal{P}_T = \frac{1}{Z_T} \exp \left(- \int_{-T}^T \tau_{q_s} \phi_s(\varrho) ds \right) \mathcal{W}^0 \otimes \mathcal{G}. \quad (10)$$

Let \mathcal{G}^ϕ denote \mathcal{G} conditioned on $\phi_0 = \phi$, and similarly let \mathcal{W}^q be two-sided Brownian motion conditioned on $q_0 = q$. $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{G}}^{q, \phi}$ denotes expectation with respect to the measure $\mathcal{W}^q \otimes \mathcal{G}^\phi$. In a similar fashion, we will use subscripts to denote the path measures and superscripts to denote conditioning throughout the paper. (10) is related to the semigroup P_t given by

$$(P_t f)(q, \phi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{G}}^{q, \phi} \left(\exp \left(- \int_0^t \tau_{q_s} \phi_s(\varrho) ds \right) f(q_t, \phi_t) \right) \quad (11)$$

for suitable functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. By analogy to the Feynman-Kac formula, the generator of P_t can be guessed (and will turn out) to be $-H$, where

$$Hf(q, \phi) = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta f(q, \phi) + H_{\mathbf{f}} f(q, \phi) + V_{\varrho}(q, \phi) f(q, \phi). \quad (12)$$

Above, Δ is the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^d , $-H_{\mathbf{f}}$ is the generator of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, and $V_{\varrho}(q, \phi) = \tau_q \phi(\varrho)$.

Let $C(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$ be the space of functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $q \mapsto f(q, \cdot)$ is continuous from \mathbb{R}^d into $L^2(\mathbf{G})$, and let $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$ be the subspace of functions $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))} = \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|f(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})} \quad (13)$$

is finite. We will need to study P_t on two closed subspaces of $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$. The first one is

$$C_0(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G})) = \{f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G})) : \lim_{|q| \rightarrow \infty} \|f(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})} = 0\}.$$

The second subspace \mathcal{T} is the image of $L^2(\mathbf{G})$ under the operator

$$U : L^2(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbf{G})), \quad Uf(q, \phi) = \tau_q f(\phi).$$

Since τ_q is an isometry on $L^2(\mathbf{G})$ for each q , \mathcal{T} equipped with the scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{G}}((U^{-1}f)(U^{-1}g)^*) = \langle U^{-1}f, U^{-1}g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{G})}. \quad (14)$$

is a Hilbert space, $\|f\|_{\mathcal{T}} = \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))}$, and U is an isometry from $L^2(\mathbf{G})$ onto \mathcal{T} .

Theorem 2.1 *P_t is a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded operators on \mathcal{T} and on $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$. The generator of P_t on both spaces is given by $-H$, with H as in (12). On \mathcal{T} , H is a self-adjoint operator.*

The proof is deferred to the appendix.

We use P_t to establish the infinite volume limits of the measures \mathcal{P}_T and \mathcal{N}_T . To begin with, note that for a function f that depends on $\{q_t : -T \leq t \leq T\}$ only, $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}_T}(f) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}_T}(f)$. This can be seen by explicitly integrating the exponential of a linear functional appearing in $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}_T}(f)$ with respect to the Gaussian measure \mathcal{G} for fixed path \mathbf{q} . Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, and let us write

$$S_I(\mathbf{q}) = - \int_I \int_I W(q_s - q_t, |s - t|) ds dt \quad (\mathbf{q} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d))$$

in the following. We then have

$$\langle 1, P_T 1 \rangle_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^0(e^{S_{[0, T]}}),$$

and

$$\|P_T 1\|_{\mathcal{T}}^2 = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{G}} \left(\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{G}}^{0, \phi} \left(e^{-\int_0^T \tau_{q_s} \phi_s(\varrho)} \right) \right)^2 \right).$$

Reversing time in one of the factors inside the $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{G}}$ expectation, using the Markov property of \mathcal{G} together with the fact that \mathbf{G} is the stationary measure of \mathcal{G} and integrating out the Gaussian field we obtain

$$\|P_T 1\|_{\mathcal{T}}^2 = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^0(e^{S_{[-T, T]}}). \quad (15)$$

These formulas are the key to

Theorem 2.2 *The infimum of the spectrum of H acting in \mathcal{T} is an eigenvalue of multiplicity one. The corresponding eigenfunction $\Psi \in \mathcal{T}$ can be chosen strictly positive.*

Proof: By assumption (6) there exists $C_\varrho > 0$ such that

$$S_{[-T, T]}(\mathbf{q}) \leq S_{[-T, 0]}(\mathbf{q}) + S_{[0, T]}(\mathbf{q}) + C_\varrho$$

uniformly in the path \mathbf{q} . We use this in (15), apply the Markov property of Brownian motion in the resulting term and reverse time in one of the factors to get

$$\|P_T 1\|_{\mathcal{T}}^2 \leq e^{C_\varrho} \left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^0(e^{S_{[0, T]}}) \right)^2 = e^{C_\varrho} \langle 1, P_T 1 \rangle_{\mathcal{T}}^2,$$

and thus

$$\left\langle 1, \frac{P_T 1}{\|P_T 1\|_{\mathcal{T}}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{T}} \geq e^{-C_e/2}. \quad (16)$$

The family $(P_T 1 / \|P_T 1\|_{\mathcal{T}})_{T>0}$ is bounded and thus relatively compact in the weak topology of \mathcal{T} . Let Ψ be the weak limit along a subsequence (T_n) with $T_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (16), $\Psi \neq 0$. We want to establish that Ψ is an eigenvalue of P_t . Let μ be the spectral measure of H with respect to the vector $1 \in D(H)$ (domain in \mathcal{T}). Then the infimum of the support of μ is

$$E_0 = \inf \text{supp}(\mu) = - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \ln \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-Tx} d\mu(x) \right).$$

E_0 is also the infimum of the spectrum of H . This follows from the fact that P_t preserves positivity: for $f \in L^\infty \cap D(H)$ we have

$$\langle f, P_t f \rangle_{\mathcal{T}} \leq \langle |f|, P_t |f| \rangle_{\mathcal{T}} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty}^2 \langle 1, P_t 1 \rangle_{\mathcal{T}}$$

for all $t > 0$, and consequently the infimum of the support of the spectral measure associated to f is greater than E_0 . Since $L^\infty \cap D(H)$ is dense, E_0 must be the infimum of the spectrum of H . Again by using the spectral measure, we find

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|P_T 1\|_{\mathcal{T}}}{\|P_{T+s} 1\|_{\mathcal{T}}} = e^{E_0 s},$$

and thus $\langle \Psi, P_t \Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{T}} = e^{-E_0 t} = \|P_t \Psi\|_{\mathcal{T}}^2$. This implies that Ψ is an eigenfunction of P_t , and thus of H . Since P_t is positivity improving, Ψ is unique and can be chosen strictly positive by the Perron-Frobenius theorem (cf. [10], section XIII.12, vol. 4). \square

Having existence and uniqueness of Ψ under control, the spectral theorem yields

$$\Psi_T = e^{TE_0}(P_T 1) \rightarrow \langle 1, \Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{T}} \Psi \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty \quad (17)$$

in \mathcal{T} and thus in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.

In the following, Ψ will be chosen strictly positive and normalized. In the context of the Nelson model, Ψ is the ground state of the dressed electron for total momentum zero. Its existence (also for small nonzero momentum) was first proven by Fröhlich [7] using a completely different method.

It is now easy to identify the infinite volume limit of the families \mathcal{P}_T and \mathcal{N}_T . For an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ let us denote by \mathcal{F}_I the σ -field generated by the point evaluations $\{(q_t, \phi_t) : t \in I\}$. Let \mathcal{P} be the probability measure on paths $(q_t, \phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ determined by

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}(f) = e^{2TE_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{G}} \left(\Psi(q_{-T}, \phi_{-T}) e^{-\int_{-T}^T \tau_{qs} \phi_s(q) ds} \Psi(q_T, \phi_T) f \middle| q_0 = 0 \right) \quad (18)$$

for each bounded, $\mathcal{F}_{[-T, T]}$ -measurable function f . From Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we conclude that \mathcal{P} is the measure of a Markov process with generator L acting as

$$Lf = -\frac{1}{\Psi}(H - E_0)(\Psi f). \quad (19)$$

This is in complete analogy with the ground state transform known from Schrödinger semigroups.

Proposition 2.3 $\mathcal{P}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ in the topology of local convergence, i.e. $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}_T}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}(f)$ for each bounded, $\mathcal{F}_{[-t,t]}$ -measurable function f and each $t > 0$.

Proof: Defining Ψ_T as in (17), we have for $f \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and $t < T$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}_T}(f) = \frac{e^{2tE_0}}{\|\Psi_T\|_T^2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{G}} \left(\Psi_{(T-t)}(q_{-t}, \phi_{-t}) e^{-\int_{-t}^t \tau_{q_s} \phi_s(\varrho) ds} f \Psi_{(T-t)}(q_t, \phi_t) \middle| q_0 = 0 \right)$$

By (17) and $\langle 1, \Psi \rangle_T > 0$, $\Psi_{(T-t)}/\|\Psi_T\|_T \rightarrow \Psi$ in \mathcal{T} . Moreover, for bounded $f \in \mathcal{F}_{[0,T]}$, the map Q on $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$ with

$$(Qg)(q, \phi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{G}}^{q, \phi} \left(\exp \left(- \int_0^t \tau_{q_s} \phi_s(\varrho) ds \right) f(q, \phi) g(q_t, \phi_t) \right)$$

is a bounded linear operator on $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$; this follows from $|Qg| \leq \|f\|_\infty P_t |g|$ and the boundedness of P_t . Thus

$$Q(\Psi_{(T-t)}/\|\Psi_T\|_T) \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} Q\Psi$$

in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$, and the claim follows. \square

Theorem 2.4 *The family $(\mathcal{N}_T)_{T>0}$ converges to a probability measure \mathcal{N} in the topology of local convergence. Moreover, if $f \in \mathcal{F}_{[-t,t]}$ depends only on $\{q_s : -t \leq s \leq t\}$ and satisfies $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^0(|f|) < \infty$, then also $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}(|f|) < \infty$, and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}_T}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}(f)$ for such f .*

Proof: The first statement follows from Proposition 2.3 when considering functions of q only. All the other statements will be proved once we show that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{T>0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}_T}(|f|) \leq C \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^0(|f|) \quad (20)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_{[-t,t]}$. To see (20), first note that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}_T}(|f|) \leq \frac{e^{2C_e}}{Z_T} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^0 \left(e^{S_{[-T,-t]}} e^{S_{[-t,t]}} e^{S_{[t,T]}} |f| \right).$$

Using the Markov property, stationarity of increments and time reversal invariance of two-sided Brownian motion, the latter term above is equal to

$$e^{2C_e} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^0 \left(R_T(q_{-t}) e^{S_{[-t,t]}(q)} f(q) R_T(q_t) \right),$$

where

$$R_T(q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_T}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^q(e^{S_{[0,T-t]}}) = \frac{\langle 1, P_{T-t} 1 \rangle_{\mathcal{T}}}{\|P_T 1\|_{\mathcal{T}}}.$$

$R_T(q)$ is therefore independent of q and convergent as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Since the pair potential W is bounded, also $S_{[-t,t]}$ is uniformly bounded, and (20) follows. \square

\mathcal{N} describes the evolution of a particle driven by a stationary field. This field is given by the \mathcal{K} -valued process

$$\eta_t = \tau_{q_t} \phi_t.$$

η_t is the configuration of the field ϕ_t as seen from the location q_t of the particle.

Proposition 2.5 *The process $(\eta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a reversible Markov process under \mathcal{P} . The reversible measure is given by $(U^{-1}\Psi)^2 \mathbf{G}$.*

Proof: Let $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{G})$. Then (18) implies

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}(f(\eta_s)g(\eta_t)) = e^{|t-s|E_0} \langle \Psi U f, P_{|t-s|}(\Psi U g) \rangle_{\mathcal{T}}.$$

Thus the generator of the η_t -process is unitarily equivalent to the operator L (cf. (19)) on the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{T}, \|\cdot\|_{\Psi})$, where $\|f\|_{\Psi} = \|\Psi f\|_{\mathcal{T}}$. L is self-adjoint on this Hilbert space, $L1 = 0$, and $\|1\|_{\Psi} = 1$. This proves reversibility. \square

The significance of the process $(\eta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is that it governs the infinitesimal increments of the process $(q_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ under \mathcal{N} . More explicitly, let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be fixed, and $h_{\gamma}(q) = \gamma \cdot q$. From Proposition 3.2 it will follow that $Lh_{\gamma}(q, \phi) = (\gamma \cdot \nabla_q \ln(\Psi))(q, \phi)$, and thus $Lh_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{T}$. With

$$j = U^{-1}(\gamma \cdot \nabla_q \ln \Psi) \in L^2(\mathbf{G}), \quad (21)$$

we have $L(\gamma \cdot q) = j(\eta)$. This fact is paraphrased by saying that q_t is driven by η_t .

3 The central limit theorem

In this section we prove a functional central limit theorem for the process $(q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ under the measure \mathcal{P} . The results of the previous section make it possible to apply the Kipnis-Varadhan method. The first ingredient is

Theorem 3.1 [8] *Let (y_t) be a Markov process with respect to a filtration \mathcal{F}_t . Assume that (y_t) is reversible with respect to a probability measure μ_0 , and that the reversible stationary process μ with invariant measure μ_0 is ergodic. Let V be a μ_0 square integrable function on the state space with $\int V d\mu_0 = 0$. Suppose in addition that V is in the domain of $L^{-1/2}$, where L is the generator of the process y_t . Let*

$$X_t = \int_0^t V(y_s) ds.$$

Then there exists a square integrable martingale (N_t, \mathcal{F}_t) such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - N_s| = 0$$

in probability with respect to μ , where $X_0 = N_0 = 0$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(|X_t - N_t|^2) = 0.$$

In [8] the theorem is stated for the case that \mathcal{F}_t is the filtration generated by the process (y_t) . From the proof given there, it is obvious that the above modification of the theorem is also valid.

Let again L be the generator of the \mathcal{P} -process, cf. (19), and let $h_\gamma(q) = \gamma \cdot q$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ fixed. We write

$$\gamma \cdot q_t = \left(\gamma \cdot q_t - \int_0^t Lh_\gamma(q_s, \phi_s) ds \right) + \int_0^t Lh_\gamma(q_s, \phi_s) ds. \quad (22)$$

The term in brackets will turn out to be an \mathcal{F}_t -martingale, and Theorem 3.1 will be applicable to the remaining term on the right hand side. This gives $\gamma \cdot q_t$ as the sum of two martingales, and the martingale central limit theorem may be applied. We now elaborate this program and start with a result that allows us to calculate $L(\gamma \cdot q)$.

Proposition 3.2 *If $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\Psi Lg \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbb{G}))$. Moreover,*

$$Lg(q, \phi) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta g(q) + \nabla_q g(q) \cdot \nabla_q \ln \Psi(q, \phi).$$

Proof: For $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $\partial_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\alpha}$ is the generator of the unitary group $f \mapsto \tau_{tq_\alpha} f$ on \mathcal{T} , and is thus anti-selfadjoint on \mathcal{T} . By Proposition 5.1, $H_f + V_\varrho$ is bounded below on \mathcal{T} by $-a \in \mathbb{R}$, say. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \langle \Psi, H\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{T}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \langle \Psi, \partial_\alpha^2 \Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{T}} + \langle \Psi, (H_f + V_\varrho)\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{T}} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \|\partial_\alpha \Psi\|_{\mathcal{T}}^2 - a. \end{aligned}$$

This shows $\partial_\alpha \Psi \in \mathcal{T}$. Now by $(H - E_0)\Psi = 0$ and $(H_f + V_\varrho)g\Psi = g(H_f + V_\varrho)\Psi$, we find

$$(H - E_0)g\Psi = -\frac{1}{2} \Psi \Delta g - \nabla_q g \cdot \nabla_q \Psi,$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Proposition 3.3 *Let j be defined as in (21). Then*

$$M_t = \gamma \cdot q_t - \int_0^t j(\eta_s) ds \quad (23)$$

is an \mathcal{F}_t -martingale with stationary increments under \mathcal{P} . The quadratic variation of M_t is $|\gamma|^2 t$.

Proof: Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ such that $E_{\mathcal{P}}(|f(q_t)|^2) < \infty$ for all $t \geq 0$, and suppose that Lf and $L(f^2)$ are in all the L^2 -spaces induced by the images of \mathcal{P} under $(q, \phi) \mapsto (q_t, \phi_t)$, $t \geq 0$. Then

$$\Pi_t f - f = \int_0^t \Pi_s Lf ds$$

in all the L^2 -spaces introduced above. In the terminology of [6], (f, Lf) is in the full generator of the transition semigroup $\Pi_t = e^{tE_0} \frac{1}{\Psi} P_t \Psi$ of the \mathcal{P} -process. It follows that

$M_t = f(q_t) - \int_0^t Lf(q_s, \phi_s) ds$ is a square integrable martingale, and a direct calculation gives

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}((M_t)^2) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}(M_0^2) + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}(L(f^2)(q_s, \phi_s) - 2f(q_s)Lf(q_s, \phi_s)) ds.$$

Using this general theory and the fact that $L(\gamma \cdot q) = j(\eta)$, we just have to check that $f(q) = \gamma \cdot q$ fulfills the integrability conditions required at the beginning of the proof. Using Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 2.4, this is immediate. \square

Proposition 3.4 *As $t \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}((\gamma \cdot q_t)^2) = |\gamma|^2 - 2 \langle \gamma \cdot \nabla_q \Psi, (H - E_0)^{-1} \gamma \cdot \nabla_q \Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{T}}. \quad (24)$$

Proof: By (23),

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}((\gamma \cdot q_t)^2) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}(M_t^2) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}\left(\left(\int_0^t j(\eta_s) ds\right)^2\right) + 2\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}\left((\gamma \cdot q_t) \int_0^t j(\eta_s) ds\right). \quad (25)$$

The third term in (25) is zero. This can be seen as follows: We have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}\left((\gamma \cdot q_t) \int_0^t j(\eta_s) ds\right) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^0((\gamma \cdot q_t)I(\mathbf{q})),$$

where

$$I(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}\left(\Psi(\eta_0)e^{-\int_0^t \eta_s(\varrho) ds} \left(\int_0^t j(\eta_s) ds\right) \Psi(\eta_t)\right)$$

and \mathbf{q} denotes a path $(q_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. Put $\tilde{q}_s = q_{t-s} - q_t$. Then by the reversibility of \mathcal{G} and the fact that \mathcal{G} is invariant under the constant shift by τ_{q_t} , we have $I(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) = I(\mathbf{q})$. Moreover $\tilde{q}_t = -q_t$, \mathcal{W}^0 -almost surely, and \mathcal{W}^0 is invariant under the transformation $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{q}}$. Thus

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^0((\gamma \cdot q_t)I(\mathbf{q})) = -\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^0((\gamma \cdot q_t)I(\mathbf{q})) = 0.$$

From Proposition 3.3 we know that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}(M_t^2) = |\gamma|^2 t$. Let Π_t denote again the transition semigroup of \mathcal{P} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}\left(\left(\int_0^t j(\eta_s) ds\right)^2\right) &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t ds \int_0^t dr \langle \gamma \cdot \nabla_q \ln \Psi, \Pi_{|r-s|} \gamma \cdot \nabla_q \ln \Psi \rangle_{\Psi_0} \\ &\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} -2 \langle \gamma \cdot \nabla_q \ln \Psi, L^{-1} \gamma \cdot \nabla_q \ln \Psi \rangle_{\Psi_0} = \\ &= 2 \langle \gamma \cdot \nabla_q \Psi, (H - E_0)^{-1} \gamma \cdot \nabla_q \Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{T}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last quantity is automatically finite; this follows from (25) and the positivity of P_t . The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 3.5 *For the process q_t under \mathcal{P} , a functional central limit theorem holds, i.e. as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the process $t \mapsto \sqrt{\varepsilon} q_{t/\varepsilon}$, $t \geq 0$, converges in distribution to Brownian motion with diffusion matrix D given by*

$$D_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 2 \langle \partial_{\alpha} \Psi, (H - E_0)^{-1} \partial_{\beta} \Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad (\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, d).$$

Proof: We have to check that the process η_s and the functional j fulfill the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. If so, (22) shows that q_t is the sum of two martingales with stationary increments and one negligible process, and the martingale functional central limit theorem can be applied. The diffusion matrix can then be obtained from (24) by choosing the canonical basis vectors e_α in place of γ and polarization. For checking conditions of Theorem 3.1, note that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}(j(\eta_t)^2) < \infty$ was shown in the proof of Proposition 3.2, and (24) implies $j \in D(L^{-1/2})$. Moreover, $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}(\eta_t) = \sum \gamma_\alpha \langle \Psi, \partial_\alpha \Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{T}} = 0$ since ∂_α is anti-selfadjoint on \mathcal{T} and Ψ is real-valued. Finally, η_s is a reversible Markov process with respect to \mathcal{F}_t by Proposition 2.5, and is ergodic, since P_t is positivity improving. \square

4 Lower bound for the diffusion matrix

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 we still have to show that $D > 0$. For this purpose we follow ideas from Brascamp et al. [3], who study fluctuations for anharmonic lattices, one particular case of which is the path measure \mathcal{N}_T when discretized. Our main effort is to show that the desired lower bound survives in the limits of zero discretization and of $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us discretize $[-T, T]$ with step-size ε , $N\varepsilon = T$, and approximate (7) through

$$\frac{1}{Z_N} \exp[-H_\varepsilon(x)] \prod_{\substack{j=-N \\ j \neq 0}}^N dx_j = \mu_N$$

as a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^{2Nd} with

$$H_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \sum_{j=-N}^{N-1} (x_{j+1} - x_j)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \varepsilon \sum_{j=-N}^N x_j^2 + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,j=-N}^N W(x_i - x_j, \varepsilon(i-j)),$$

$\kappa > 0$. Here $x = (x_{-N}, \dots, x_N)$, $x_0 = 0$. Clearly, $\mu_N \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_T$ weakly in the limits $\kappa \rightarrow 0$, $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Expectations with respect to μ_N are denoted by \mathbb{E}_N .

We define the $2Nd \times 2Nd$ matrix M^κ through

$$M_{i\alpha, j\beta}^\kappa = \mathbb{E}_N (\partial_{i\alpha} H \partial_{j\beta} H) = \mathbb{E}_N (\partial_{i\alpha} \partial_{j\beta} H), \quad (26)$$

$i, j = -N, \dots, N$, $i, j \neq 0$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, d$, $\partial_{i\alpha} = \partial / \partial x_{i\alpha}$. Let $\sum_{i,\alpha}^*$ denote the sum $i = -N, \dots, N$, $i \neq 0$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, d$. Then for real coefficients $f_{i\alpha}$, $g_{i\alpha}$ one has, by partial integration and Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{i,\alpha}^* f_{i\alpha} g_{i\alpha} \right)^2 &= \mathbb{E}_N \left(\left(\sum_{i,\alpha}^* f_{i\alpha} x_{i\alpha} \right) \left(\sum_{j,\beta}^* g_{j\beta} \partial_{j\beta} H \right) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_N \left(\left(\sum_{i,\alpha}^* f_{i\alpha} x_{i\alpha} \right)^2 \right) \mathbb{E}_N \left(\left(\sum_{j,\beta}^* g_{j\beta} \partial_{j\beta} H \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $M^\kappa \geq \kappa > 0$, we can set $g = (M^\kappa)^{-1} f$ to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_N \left(\left(\sum_{i,\alpha}^* f_{i\alpha} x_{i\alpha} \right)^2 \right) \geq \sum_{i\alpha, j\beta}^* ((M^\kappa)^{-1})_{i\alpha, j\beta} f_{i\alpha} f_{j\beta}. \quad (27)$$

From (26) one obtains $M_{i\alpha,j\beta}^\kappa = M_{i\alpha,j\beta} + \kappa\varepsilon\delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ with

$$M_{i\alpha,j\beta} = -\varepsilon^{-1}\Delta_{ij}^0\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \varepsilon^2\left(K_\varepsilon^T(i\alpha,j\beta) - \delta_{ij}\sum_{\substack{n=-N \\ n\neq 0}}^N K_\varepsilon^T(i\alpha,n\beta)\right),$$

where Δ^0 is the lattice Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary condition at $j = 0$, Neumann boundary condition at $j = \pm N$, and

$$K_\varepsilon^T(i\alpha,j\beta) = \mathbb{E}_N(\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta W(x_i - x_j, \varepsilon(i-j))),$$

$\partial_\alpha = \partial/\partial x_\alpha$. In (27) we further decrease on the right by substituting $(M^\kappa + \lambda)^{-1}$ for $(M^\kappa)^{-1}$, $\lambda > 0$. We now take the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\kappa \rightarrow 0$. Then, for $f \in C([-T, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, we conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}_T}\left(\left(\int_{-T}^T f(t) \cdot q_t dt\right)^2\right) \geq \langle f, (A_T^0 + \lambda)^{-1} f \rangle \quad (28)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product for $L^2([-T, T], dt) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, A_T^0 is the linear operator

$$A_T^0 = -\Delta^{0,T} \otimes 1 + B^T,$$

$-\Delta^{0,T} = -d^2/dt^2$ with Dirichlet boundary condition at 0 and Neumann boundary condition at $\pm T$, and B^T is the integral operator

$$B^T f_\alpha(t) = \sum_{\beta=1}^d \int_{-T}^T K_{\alpha\beta}^T(t, s)(f_\alpha(t) - f_\beta(s))ds$$

with kernel

$$K_{\alpha\beta}^T(t, s) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}_T}(\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta W(q_t - q_s, t - s)).$$

We take the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$ in (28). For compactly supported f , the left hand side converges to $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}((\int dt f(t) \cdot q_t)^2)$ by Theorem 2.4. For the right hand side we use a theorem by Kurtz ([6], Thm. 1.6.1). We consider $L^2([-T, T]) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ as a subspace on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. Let $A^0 = -\Delta^0 \otimes 1 + B$ where $-\Delta^0$ is the Laplacian on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions at $t = 0$ and where

$$Bf_\alpha(t) = \sum_{\beta=1}^d \int K_{\alpha\beta}(t, s)(f_\alpha(t) - f_\beta(s))ds$$

with kernel

$$K_{\alpha\beta}(t, s) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}(\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta W(q_t - q_s, t - s)).$$

Putting $K_{\alpha\beta} = K_{\alpha\beta}^\infty$, we find for all $T \leq \infty$

$$\left|\int K_{\alpha\beta}^T(t, s) ds\right| \leq \int \frac{\widehat{\varrho}(k)^2}{2\omega(k)^2} |k|^2 dk$$

and

$$\left| \int K_{\alpha\beta}^T(t, s) f_\beta(s) ds \right| \leq \int \int \frac{\widehat{\varrho}(k)^2}{2\omega(k)} |k|^2 e^{-\omega(k)|t-s|} |f_\beta(s)| dk ds.$$

By the Schwarz inequality, the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -norm of the right hand side above is bounded by $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \int \frac{\widehat{\varrho}(k)^2}{2\omega(k)^2} |k|^2 dk$, and thus B_T and B are bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. Since clearly $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} |Bf_\alpha(t) - B^T f_\alpha(t)| = 0$ pointwise, dominated convergence yields $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} B^T f = Bf$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Now let

$$D = \{g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^3 | g_\alpha(0) = 0, g''_\alpha \in L^2; g_\alpha \text{ has compact support, } \alpha = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

D is a core for A^0 , and $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \|((- \Delta^{0,T} + \Delta^0) \otimes 1)g\| = 0$. Thus also $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} A_T^0 g = A^0 g$, and from (28) and [6], Thm. 1.6.1 we conclude

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}} \left(\left(\int f(t) \cdot q_t dt \right)^2 \right) \geq \langle f, (A^0 + \lambda)^{-1} f \rangle \quad (29)$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$.

It remains to estimate the right hand side of (29). Let $\widehat{K}_{\alpha\beta}$ be the Fourier transform of K . K only depends on $t - s$, since \mathcal{N} has stationary increments, thus in Fourier space B is multiplication by $\widehat{K}(0) - \widehat{K}(\omega)$. Since by assumption (8) $\int t^2 |K_{\alpha\beta}(t)| dt < \infty$, there exists a constant D_0 such that

$$\widehat{K}(0) - \widehat{K}(\omega) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \omega^2} D_0 \omega^2 \quad (30)$$

as $d \times d$ matrices. Let \bar{B} be the operator corresponding to the right hand side of (30) and $- \Delta^0 + \bar{B} = \bar{A}^0$. By operator monotonicity and taking $f_\alpha \rightarrow \gamma \delta_t$ one achieves

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}} \left((\gamma \cdot q_t)^2 \right) \geq |\gamma|^2 (\bar{A}^0 + \lambda)^{-1}(t, t). \quad (31)$$

Let $A = -\Delta + \bar{B}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then, as can be checked directly,

$$(\bar{A}^0 + \lambda)^{-1}(t, s) = (A + \lambda)^{-1}(t, s) - [(A + \lambda)^{-1}(0, 0)]^{-1} (A + \lambda)^{-1}(0, s) (A + \lambda)^{-1}(t, 0).$$

A is multiplication by $\omega^2 + (1 + \omega^2)^{-1} D_0 \omega^2$ in Fourier space. Thus by explicit computation

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} (\bar{A}^0 + \lambda)(t, t) = (1 + D_0)^{-1} |t|. \quad (32)$$

Combining (31) and (32) means that there is a constant c_0 such that $c_0 > 0$ and

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}} \left((\gamma \cdot q_t)^2 \right) \geq c_0 |\gamma|^2 |t|$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Hence $D \geq c_0 > 0$.

5 Appendix: Proof of Theorem 2.1

We will prove Theorem 2.1 and start with collecting some facts that will be useful later on.

Proposition 5.1 *Let H_f and V_ϱ be as in (12). For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant C independent of q such that*

$$\|V_\varrho f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})}^2 \leq \varepsilon \|H_f f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})}^2 + C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})}^2 \quad (33)$$

for all $f \in D(H_f)$. $H_f + V_\varrho(q)$ is self-adjoint on $D(H_f)$ and bounded below uniformly in q .

The proof of (33) is standard and is most conveniently done in the Fock space representation of $L^2(\mathbf{G})$. We refer to [1]. From (33), the other assertions follow by the Kato-Rellich theorem [10].

Apart from the spaces introduced in the paragraph above Theorem 2.1, we will need

$$\begin{aligned} L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G})) &= \{f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \text{ess sup}_{q \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|f(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})} < \infty\}, \\ L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K}) &= \{f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \text{ess sup}_{q \in \mathbb{R}^d, \phi \in \mathcal{K}} f(q, \phi) < \infty\}, \quad \text{and} \\ L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G})) &= \{f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \int |f(q, \phi)|^2 dq d\mathbf{G}(\phi) < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K}) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$.

We now give two identities connected with the semigroup P_t given in (11). By the Markov property and time reversibility of \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{G} we find

$$\|P_t f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})}^2(q) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{G}} \left(f(q_{-t}, \phi_{-t}) e^{\int_{-t}^t \tau_{q_s} \phi_s(\varrho) ds} f(q_t, \phi_t) \middle| q_0 = q \right) \quad (34)$$

for $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K})$. As a semigroup of operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$, P_t is self-adjoint and strongly continuous, and

$$P_t f(q, \phi) = e^{-tH} f(q, \phi) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G})), \quad (35)$$

where H is given by (12). In other words, $-H$ is the generator of P_t in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$. (35) is the Feynman-Kac-Nelson formula, and a proof can be given e.g. via the Trotter product formula, cf. [1]. Note that the content of Theorem 2.1 is to extend (35) to the spaces $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$ and \mathcal{T} carrying the sup-norm given in (13).

Proposition 5.2 *a) P_t is a semigroup of bounded operators on $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$.*

b) If $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$, then $P_t f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$.

c) If $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbf{G}))$, then

$$\|P_t f - f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof: a) We only have to show boundedness of P_t , the semigroup property then follows from the Markov property of \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{W} . Since $|P_t f| \leq P_t |f|$ pointwise, it is sufficient to consider positive functions. At first let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K})$.

Let us fix a path $\mathbf{q} : [-t, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, not necessarily continuous, and define the bilinear form

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = \int f(q_{-t}, \phi_{-t}) e^{-\int_{-t}^t \tau_{q_s} \phi_s(\varrho) ds} g(q_t, \phi_t) d\mathcal{G}(\phi).$$

For two paths $\mathbf{q}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}$,

$$|\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbf{q}} - \langle f, g \rangle_{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}}| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} \left(\left(e^{-\int_{-t}^t \tau_{q_s} \phi_s(\varrho) ds} - e^{-\int_{-t}^t \tau_{\tilde{q}_s} \phi_s(\varrho) ds} \right)^2 \right), \quad (36)$$

and an explicit Gaussian integration shows that $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ is continuous from $L^\infty([-t, t], \mathbb{R})$ to \mathbb{R} . Now let \mathbf{q} be continuous, and define

$$q_s^{(n)} = \sum_{j=-n}^{n-1} q_{tj/n} 1_{[tj/n, t(j+1)/n]}(s).$$

Then

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbf{q}^{(n)}} = \left\langle f, \prod_{j=-n}^{n-1} S_{t/n}^{q_{tj/n}} g \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} \quad (37)$$

with

$$S_t^q g(\phi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}^\phi \left(e^{-\int_0^t \tau_q \phi_s(\varrho) ds} g(\phi_t) \right)$$

By Proposition 5.1, $e^{-t(H_f + V_\varrho(q))}$ is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{G})$ with $\|e^{-t(H_f + V_\varrho(q))}\| \leq e^{t\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand,

$$S_t^q g(\phi) = e^{-t(H_f + V_\varrho(q))} g(\phi)$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{G})$. This is a variant of (35), and can be proven in the same way. Now by the Schwarz inequality and repeated use of the operator norm inequality, (37) yields

$$|\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbf{q}^{(n)}}| \leq e^{2t\alpha} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}(q_{-t}) \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}(q_t)$$

for bounded f and g . Since $\mathbf{q}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}$ uniformly on $[-t, t]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, this remains valid when we replace $\mathbf{q}^{(n)}$ by \mathbf{q} . An application of monotone convergence now gives

$$|\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbf{q}}| \leq e^{2t\alpha} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}(q_{-t}) \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}(q_t) \quad (38)$$

for all $q \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$. We use this in (34) and have established our first claim.

b) Let $q, r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $f, g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K})$, and write $f_r(q, \phi) = f(q + r, \phi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_t f(q, \cdot) - P_t f(q + r, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}^2 = \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} \left(\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{G}}^{q, \phi} \left(e^{-\int_0^t \tau_{q_s} \phi_s(\varrho) ds} f(q_t, \phi_t) - e^{-\int_0^t \tau_{(q_s+r)} \phi_s(\varrho) ds} f_r(q_t, \phi_t) \right) \right)^2 \right) = \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^q \left(\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathbf{q}} + \langle f_r, f_r \rangle_{\mathbf{q}+r} - 2 \langle f, f_r \rangle_{\mathbf{q}+1_{\{t \geq 0\}} r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For each path q , each of the terms in the last line above converges to $\langle f, f \rangle_q$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ by (36), (38) and the continuity of $q \mapsto f(q, \cdot)$. Thus the integrand converges to zero pathwise, and the second claim follows by dominated convergence.

c) Let

$$Q_t f(q, \phi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{G}}^{q, \phi}(f(q_t, \phi_t)).$$

Then for bounded f ,

$$\|Q_t f - P_t f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathcal{G}))} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

as a calculation similar to the one in (36) shows. Therefore we must only show that $\|Q_t f - f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})}$ vanishes uniformly on compact sets as $t \rightarrow 0$. Let us write $f_t(q, \phi) = f(q, \phi_t)$. By reversibility of \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{G} and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\|Q_t f(q, \cdot) - f(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})}^2 \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^q \left(\|f_t(q_t, \cdot) - f_0(q_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})}^2 \right).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_t(q_t, \cdot) - f_0(q_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} &\leq \|f_t(q_t, \cdot) - f_t(q_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} + \|f_t(q_0, \cdot) - f_0(q_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} = \\ &= \|f(q_t, \cdot) - f(q_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} + \|f_t(q_0, \cdot) - f_0(q_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it is enough to show that

$$\|f_t(q, \cdot) - f_0(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0 \quad \text{uniformly on compacts,} \quad (39)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^q \left(\|f(q_t, \cdot) - f(q_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})}^i \right) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0, \quad \text{uniformly on compacts, } i = 1, 2. \quad (40)$$

In order to prove (39), suppose there exist bounded sequences $(q_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $(t_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ with $t_n \rightarrow 0$, and $\|f_{t_n}(q_n, \cdot) - f_0(q_n, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} > \delta$ for all n . We may assume that q_n converges to $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, with the notation introduced above,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &< \|f_{t_n}(q_n, \cdot) - f_0(q_n, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} \leq \|f_{t_n}(q_n, \cdot) - f_{t_n}(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} + \\ &\quad + \|f_{t_n}(q, \cdot) - f_0(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} + \|f_0(q, \cdot) - f_0(q_n, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} = \\ &= \|f_{t_n}(q, \cdot) - f_0(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} + 2\|f(q_n, \cdot) - f(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})}. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing n_0 so large that $\|f(q_n, \cdot) - f(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} < \delta/3$ for all $n > n_0$, we find that $\|f_{t_n}(q, \cdot) - f_0(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} > \delta/3$ for all these n . However, $\|f_{t_n}(q, \cdot) - f_0(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})}$ must converge to zero by the strong continuity of the semigroup corresponding to \mathcal{G} , thus we have a contradiction. To prove (40), note that $q \mapsto f(q, \cdot)$ is uniformly continuous on compact sets. Thus for $\varepsilon > 0$ we may choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\|f(q, \cdot) - f(\tilde{q}, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})} < \varepsilon/2$ for $|q - \tilde{q}| < \delta$. Now by the properties of Brownian motion, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for $0 \leq t < t_0$, $\mathcal{W}^q(|q_t - q| > \delta) < \varepsilon/(2^{i+1} \|f\|_{L^\infty}^i)$. Then $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^q(\|f(q_t, \cdot) - f(q_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{G})}^i) < \varepsilon$ for such t and uniformly in q , proving (40). \square

Proposition 5.3 P_t is a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded operators on $C_0 = C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathcal{G}))$.

Proof: We want to use Proposition 5.2 b) and c) for approximation and therefore must first show that $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K})$ is dense in C_0 . Let $f \in C_0$ and take $f^R = (f \wedge -R) \vee R$ for $R \geq 0$. Since $L^\infty(\mathcal{K})$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{G})$, for each $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$R_q(\varepsilon) = \inf\{R \geq 0 : \|f(q, \cdot) - f^R(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} \leq \varepsilon\} < \infty. \quad (41)$$

$R_q(\varepsilon)$ is bounded on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^d , for otherwise we would find $(q_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $q_n \rightarrow q$ and $R_{q_n}(\varepsilon) > n$ for all n . Choosing n_0 so large that $\|f(q_n, \cdot) - f(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} < \varepsilon/3$ for all $n > n_0$, we would have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= \|f(q_n, \cdot) - f^{R_n}(q_n, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} \leq \\ &\leq \|f(q_n, \cdot) - f(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} + \|f(q, \cdot) - f^{R_n}(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} + \|f^{R_n}(q, \cdot) - f^{R_n}(q_n, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} \leq \\ &\leq \|f(q, \cdot) - f^{R_n}(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} + 2\varepsilon/3 \leq \|f(q, \cdot) - f^n(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} + 2\varepsilon/3 \end{aligned}$$

for each $n > n_0$. This implies $R_q(\varepsilon/3) = \infty$, in contradiction to (41). Thus $R_q(\varepsilon)$ is bounded on compacts. However, since $f \in C_0$, $R_q(\varepsilon) = 0$ for $|q|$ large enough, and thus $R_q(\varepsilon)$ is bounded on all \mathbb{R}^d . Thus bounded functions are dense in C_0 .

Let us now show that P_t leaves C_0 invariant. From (34) and (38) we see that

$$\|P_t f(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} \leq e^{Ct} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}^q \left(\|f(q-t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} \|f(qt, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} \right).$$

$\mathcal{W}^0(|q_t| \geq R)$ decays exponentially in R for all t , and so does $\|P_t f(q, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}$. From Proposition 5.2 b) it follows that $P_t f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbb{G}))$, and thus $P_t f \in C_0$ for $f \in C_0 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{K})$. By Proposition 5.2 a) and approximation, we obtain $P_t C_0 \subset C_0$. In a similar way, we obtain strong continuity from Proposition 5.2 c). \square

Proposition 5.4 *P_t is a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded operators on \mathcal{T} .*

Proof: Let $f \in \mathcal{T}$. By the invariance of \mathcal{G} under the map $\phi \mapsto \tau_q \phi$ for each $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and the translation invariance of Wiener measure,

$$\begin{aligned} (P_t f)(q, \phi) &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{G}}^{0, \tau_q \phi} \left(e^{-\int_0^t \tau_{q_s} \phi_s(\varrho) ds} \tau_{q_t} U^{-1} f(\phi_t) \right) = \\ &= U \left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{G}}^{0, \phi} \left(e^{-\int_0^t \tau_{q_s} \phi_s(\varrho) ds} \tau_{q_t} U^{-1} f(\phi_t) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and thus $P_t f \in \mathcal{T}$. It is easy to see that $L^\infty \cap \mathcal{T}$ is dense in \mathcal{T} . Strong continuity then follows from Proposition 5.2 c) and (14). \square

It remains to show that the generator of P_t is given by $-H$, with H from (12). Write $-H_{C_0}$ for the generator in C_0 and $-H_{\mathcal{T}}$ for the generator in \mathcal{T} . If $f \in D(H_{\mathcal{T}})$ or $f \in D(H_{C_0})$, then $fg \in D(H_{C_0})$ for each $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C})$ with compact support, and $fg \in D(H_{L^2})$, where H_{L^2} is the generator of P_t as a semigroup on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbb{G}))$. By the Feynman-Kac-Nelson formula (35), we have $H_{L^2} fg = Hfg$ almost everywhere, and thus also $H_{C_0} fg = -Hfg$ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbb{G}))$. Since $H_{\mathcal{T}}$, H_{C_0} and H are local in q , we may now use a smooth partition of unity to conclude $H_{\mathcal{T}} f = H_{C_0} f = Hf$. H is obviously symmetric in \mathcal{T} , and is thus self-adjoint as the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed. \square

References

- [1] V. Betz: Gibbs measures relative to Brownian motion and Nelson's model. PhD thesis, TU München 2002.
- [2] V. Betz, F. Hiroshima, J. Lőrinczi, R. Minlos, H. Spohn: Ground state properties of the Nelson Hamiltonian - A Gibbs measure-based approach. *Rev. Math. Phys* **14**, 173-198 (2002).
- [3] H.J. Brascamp, J.L. Lebowitz, E. Lieb: The Statistical Mechanics of anharmonic lattices, in *Proceedings of the 40th session of the International Statistics Institute*, Warsaw, 1975 , Vol. 9, p. 1-11.
- [4] R. L. Dobrushin: Analyticity of correlation functions in one-dimensional classical systems with slowly decreasing potentials. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **32**, 269 - 289 (1973).
- [5] R. L. Dobrushin: Analyticity of correlation functions for one-dimensional classical systems with power-law decay of the potential. *Math. USSR Sbornik* **23**, 13-44 (1973).
- [6] S. Ethier, T. Kurtz: Markov Processes, Characterization and Convergence. Wiley 1986.
- [7] J. Fröhlich: Existence of dressed one electron states in a class of persistent models. *Fortschr. Phys.* **22**, 159-198 (1974).
- [8] C. Kipnis, S. R. S. Varadhan: Central limit theorem for additive functionals of reversible Markov processes and applications to simple excursions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104**, 1-19 (1986).
- [9] E. Nelson: Interaction of nonrelativistic particles with a quantized scalar field. *J. Math. Phys.* **5**, 1990-1997 (1964).
- [10] M. Reed, B. Simon: Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I-IV, London: Academic Press, 1975-1980.
- [11] H. Spohn: Effective mass of the polaron: a functional integral approach. *Ann. Phys.* **175**, 278-318 (1987)